

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/534,723		03/24/2000	Mi Sook Nam	8733.20101	2128	
30827	7590	12/30/2002				
		G & ALDRIDGE	EXAMINER			
1900 K STR WASHINGT			HON, SOW FUN			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1772	11	
				DATE MAILED: 12/30/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					AS-
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/534,723		NAM ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Sow-Fun H		1772	
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	tion appears on the c	cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	idress
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failun - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, apply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event ation. ays, a reply within the statuto by period will apply and will e by statute, cause the application.	, however, may a reply be ti ry minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ation to become ABANDON)	mely filed ys will be considered time in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>01 August 2002</u>	•		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)		on-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice				ne merits is
Disposition	on of Claims	didoi Ex parto da	1910, 1000 0.0. 71,	100 0.0.210.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the app	olication.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-42</u> is/are wi	thdrawn from consic	leration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7-42</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election red	juirement.	•	
Application	on Papers				
,—	The specification is objected to by the E				-
10)∐ Т	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[
44) 🗆 🔻	Applicant may not request that any objecti				
11) 🔲 1	The proposed drawing correction filed or			oved by the Examir	ier.
. 12\□ т	If approved, corrected drawings are requir The oath or declaration is objected to by		e action.		
.—	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	the Examiner.			
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority und	or 35 S.C. & 110/	a)_(d) or (f)	
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	Toreign priority und	er 55 0.5.0. g 115(a)-(u) or (i).	
•	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been	received		
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do			tion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t				Stage
	application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).		Clago
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for o		-		ıl application).
а)	☐ The translation of the foreign langu	age provisional appl	lication has been re	ceived.	,
Attachment		domestic priority unit	aci 00 0.0.0. 33 12	o and/or 121.	
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No	o(s) `.
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5		Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/534,723 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Rejections Withdrawn

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph rejections in Paper # 9 (mailed 08/01/02) have been withdrawn due to Applicant's statements in Paper # 10 (filed 10/18/02) that the photosensitive constituent is defined by the cinnamoyl derivative, and that the cinnamoyl derivative is defined by the examples given in the disclosure. The biphenyl moiety is the consistent distinguishing feature of the cinnamoyl derivative.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C 101 double patenting rejection in Paper # 9 (mailed 08/01/02) has been withdrawn.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/534,723 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kwon et al. (US 6,399,165).

Kwon et al. has a liquid crystal display device comprising first and second substrates, a first alignment layer on said first substrate, wherein the first alignment layer includes the claimed formula, and wherein at least one of the first and second alignment layers is divided into at least two domains for driving liquid crystal molecules in the liquid crystal layer differently on each domain (columns 8-12, lines 1-68).

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-34 of U. S. Patent No. 6,399,165 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Since the pyranose polymer in claim 1 of '165 is defined by the chemical formula in

Application/Control Number: 09/534,723

Art Unit: 1772

claim 17 of '165, and claim 1 of the present application recites the chemical formula in claim 17

Page 4

of '165, claims 1-6 of the present application are claiming the same subject matter as claims 1-34

of '165.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting

claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application

which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968).

See also MPEP § 804.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in 7.

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose

telephone number is (703)308-3265. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday

from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (703)308-4251. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Sow-Fun Hon

RYPATENT EXAMINER 12/26/02